

**WALLINGFORD INLAND WETLANDS & WATERCOURSES COMMISSION**

**WEDNESDAY, JULY 16, 2014**

**ROBERT EARLY AUDITORIUM, TOWN HALL**

**45 SOUTH MAIN STREET, WALLINGFORD, CT**

**MINUTES**

The Regular Meeting of the Wallingford Inland Wetlands & Watercourses Commission was held on Wednesday, July 16, 2014, in Council Chambers, Town Hall, 45 South Main Street, Wallingford, CT.

Seated Commissioners were: Chairman Jim Vitali; Vice-Chair Ellen Deutsch; Nick Kern, Secretary; David Parent; Alternates Deborah Phillips and Jim Heilman; Erin O'Hare, Environmental and Natural Resources Planner.

Not Present: Commissioner Dennis Murphy and Alternate Michael Caruso.

Chairman Vitali called the Meeting to order at 7:01 p.m.

**CONSIDERATION OF MINUTES**

1. Regular Meeting, June 4, 2014

**MS. DEUTSCH:      MOTION TO APPROVE THE MEETING MINUTES OF JUNE 4, 2014**

**AS PRESENTED**

**MR. PARENT:      SECOND**

**VOTE:              HEILMAN – YES; KERN – YES; DEUTSCH – YES; PARENT – YES;**

**VITALI – YES**

Chairman Vitali took the agenda in the following order:

1. **#A05-9.3/1092, 1094 & 1096/North Colony Road** – Lowe's Improvement Center, Inc., (release of bond)

Ms, O'Hare said this was not ready to go forward.

2. **#A14-5.8/250 Main Street, Yalesville** – L & J Partnership, LLC – (after-the-fact commercial concrete parking area)

Ms. O'Hare referred to her Environmental Planner's Report of July 8, 2014. She said this concerned both the after-the-fact commercial concrete parking area, and the Notice of Violation. She said there are two items on the agenda; the application and how the violation will be handled.

Appearing in front of the Commission and representing the Applicant was John Gabel, P.E., Connecticut Consulting Engineers.

Mr. Gabel said his client had installed an unpermitted concrete parking slab in the rear of the property under the site plan approved back in 2009. He said the Applicant spoke with Town staff regarding alternatives and some ideas in terms of drainage patterns and snow shelf areas and applied them to these patterns. Mr. Gabel said he received a letter from Ms. O'Hare and agrees with the Conditions of Approval.

**MS. DEUTSCH:**      **MOTION THAT APPLICATION #A14-5.8/250 Main Street, YALESVILLE – L & J PARTNERSHIP, LLC – (AFTER-THE-FACT COMMERCIAL CONCRETE PARKING AREA) BE APPROVED WITH THE FOLLOWING CONDITIONS OF APPROVAL AS OUTLINED IN THE ENVIRONMENTAL PLANNER'S REPORT DATED JULY 8, 2014:**

- 1. PLANTINGS TO BE INSTALLED IN SEPTEMBER/OCTOBER 2014**
- 2. GRAVEL SNOW DISPOSAL AREA BY RACEWAY TO BE PROVIDED BY FALL 2014**

**MR. PARENT:**      **SECOND**

Chairman Vitali noted that after-the-fact permits are not well accepted because they get taken care of because there are technicalities, not because we are in favor of them.

Commissioner Heilman said he sees no problem with this in the future and does not see any long-term effects. He said this isn't a preferred methodology; it would have been better to have the infiltration, he said he doesn't like after-the-fact applications at all, because in some cases it should be redone but in this instance, it serves no purpose. He said he doesn't see any problems in the future from this.

Commissioner Parent said it shouldn't be a presumption that we should approve this and would not want anyone to get the impression that an Applicant can apply for after-the-fact application and it will be automatically approved.

Chairman Vitali cautioned the Applicant to make sure the shrubs are installed before winter.

**VOTE: HEILMAN – YES; KERN –YES; DEUTSCH – YES; PARENT – YES;**  
**VITALI – YES.**

**3.#A14-6.1/680 Barnes Road – Jaime Szyszka – (addition)**

Appearing in front of the Commission were the Applicant, Jaime Szyszka and Owner/Applicant Carmine Iannuzzi.

Mr. Iannuzzi said an addition to the existing dwelling is being proposed to add a playroom and family room and an upstairs bedroom. He said the downstairs addition is proposed to be 16 ft. wide by 30 ft. long. He said 16 ft. was chosen because there is an existing deck which protrudes 16 ft. from the house. He said the deck will be removed in order to be able to expand the addition. He said the length of the house is 30 ft. and upstairs the bedroom will be 16 ft. by 16 ft.

Ms. O'Hare briefly discussed the Environmental Planner's Report dated June 9, 2014. She said the original application was submitted to the Commission in June. She said she received comments from Vincent Mascia, Sr. Engineer, Water & Sewer Divisions, and on Wednesday, she received a letter from Rosalind Page, Surveyor for the Applicant who is preparing a site plan for the owners for the proposed addition with respect to municipal setbacks and existing utility easement. She said the municipal setback was requested by Town Planner Kacie Costello and the existing utility easement was brought up by the Sewer Division. She said that easement has at least three utilities in it: water, sewer and storm water.

Ms. O'Hare said there is a notch which was made to accommodate the existing deck. She said the Sewer Division is requesting a survey of the easement relative to the proposed addition and existing deck to ensure nothing structural is constructed in the easement. She said as far as the wetlands go, it is a very steep slope. From the addition, the lawn slopes steeply off-site and the pond is located immediately on the other side of the property line. She said they are in the back part of the upland review area, but the concern is erosion so a silt fence is proposed for that area. Ms. O'Hare said she has no concerns and recommended approval with the idea it would be approved with the condition that it satisfy the Sewer Division.

Chairman Vitali said he did not believe the Commission's approval should reference the Sewer Division and the deck should be 16 feet or less.

**MS. DEUTSCH: MOTION THAT APPLICATION #A-14-6.1 /680 BARNES**  
**ROAD – JAIME SZYSZKA – (ADDITION) BE DEEMED NOT A**  
**SIGNIFICANT ACTIVITY**

**MR. PARENT: SECOND**

**VOTE:**                   **PARENT- YES; DEUTSCH – YES; KERN- YES; HEILMAN – YES;**  
**VITALI – YES**

**MS. DEUTSCH:**       **MOTION THAT APPLICATION # A14-6,1/680 BARNES ROAD**  
**JAIMIE SZYSZKA – (ADDITION) BE APPROVED WITH THE**  
**STANDARD EROSION CONTROL BE PART OF THE CONDITION**  
**OF APPROVAL AS STATED IN THE JULY 9, 2014**  
**ENVIRONMENTAL PLANNER’S REPORT:**

- 1. EROSION CONTROLS ARE INSTALLED AND INSTALLATION**  
**APPROVED BY THE ENVIRONMENTAL PLANNER PRIOR TO**  
**INITIATION OF OTHER PERMITTED WORK ACTIVITIES**  
**INCLUDING THE REMOVAL OF EXISTING DEPOSITIONS ON**  
**SLOPE**

**MR. PARENT:**       **SECOND**

**VOTE:**                   **PARENT –YES; DEUTSCH – YES; KERN – YES; HEILMAN – YES;**  
**VITALI – YES.**

**4.#A14.6.2/91 Farm Hill Road –Sharon Correll – (stabilization of rear yard and pool fencing)**

Appearing before the Commission was Applicant Sharon Correll.

She told the Commission that because of contributory flows, there has been erosion on the back of her property and on the stream bank and where the fence is located. She noted the photos of the erosion. She said the original application was discussed with Town staff and understood that Public Works has an easement to take care of regarding water pipes. She said the water needs to be taken away from the back of her property. She said Public Works suggested piping the water back out to the original stream. She said she received a call from Ms. O'Hare last Friday saying that the Engineering Dept. said Public Works cannot do this work. She said she spoke to a Contractor. Ms. Correll said she would like restore the soil and the exposure to the back of her fence and installing rip-rap to bring the stream out and away from the back of her property. She said she has resided there 28 years and just started having water erosion problems, more than likely from a new development in the back of her property. She said the erosion has taken away the garden in the back of her home.

Chairman Vitali said the Commission has seen these types of conditions everywhere. He told the Applicant she needs a plan. He said he was out there 40 years ago, and noted if that project

had come had come in today, it would probably not be approved had it come it today because regulations have changed. He asked if the brook was on the boundary line.

Ms. Correll said the brook is on her property.

Susan Taylor, 89 Farm Hill Road, speaking as a member of the public, said she is a neighbor and has a storm water easement which runs through her property. She said the Corrells are on the other side of the easement. She said there are three storm water easements on her property and have had an extreme amount of water since the 2005 development. She said the fence has redirected the stream and said Ms. Correll has an issue, but she has a major issue up stream that she addressed with the Mayor and Public Works. She asked the permit not be approved at this time for stabilization of rear yard and fencing and that is where the pool comes into the picture.

Chairman Vitali said there is an application but no plan associated with it. He said the Commission cannot approve a plan it doesn't have but if a plan is submitted, it could be approved without affecting what is happening upstream.

Ms. O'Hare noted there are three outfalls, and all three are on Ms. Taylors property and the third one is shared with Ms. Correll. She said her understanding is after meeting with the Mayor is that there are drainage easements and Public Works will be presenting Ms. Taylor with a plan in conjunction with the Engineering Dept. of exactly what they are going to do within those easements. She said trees are overgrown and Public Works will install stone and a plunge pool.

Ms. Taylor said her property is involved in what Ms. Correll's plan entails.

Ms. O'Hare said this is what surfaced last week and why Ms. Correll has to submit a new plan.

Ms. Taylor said the brook has changed over the course of this and it will change again if the problems upstream are not taken care of.

Chairman Vitali said the Commission is not approving what this application is asking. He said this application will be tabled because the Applicant must come in with a new plan.

Ms. Correll said she has a Contractor that has worked with Public Works in the past and will be coming out to look at the property.

Ms. O'Hare said the two outfalls that Public Works will be taking care of is deferred maintenance which is long overdue. She said currently, nothing is proposed for the third outfall but this could change. She said since this design was pulled off the table, she has heard of no new design for the third outfall.

Commissioner Heilman said he has looked at this situation and it appears the confluence of the outfalls have over the years with the increased energy of flow have moved the direction of the stream incrementally, and has moved into their property six to eight feet from the original course and one can see a pipe coming out from underneath the slope, which is evidence of

erosion taking place over the years. He said these things are set up with the idea of maintenance but there are too many of them. He said the bottom line is over the years the center of the stream has moved significantly into their property and has undermined the corner of the fence. He said had maintenance been done all along, the stream would have stayed where it should be. Commissioner Heilman said a spirit of cooperation is needed. He said the upstream issue has to be addressed before their property can be fixed.

Chairman Vitali said there is a modification to the scope of work because the Town has changed some offers in their negotiation. He noted that if the Applicant brings in a new plan, there should be no new fees involved because this is all functioning in the same application and because the Town pulled the plan. He said the item will be tabled but he doesn't want the clock to run out.

Ms. O'Hare said the Applicant can get a new plan together and revise the existing application and would have to grant the Commission an extension to Sept.3 or longer.

The Applicant granted the IWWC a 30-day extension and the IWWC then tabled the application pending the new plan.

**5.#A14-6.3/56 Brentwood Drive (Brentwood Village)** – Denis O'Sullivan, P.E.  
(restoration of slope upgradient of wetlands & re-paving project)

Appearing in front of the Commission was Denis O'Sullivan, P.E., representing the Applicant, Brentwood Village Condominium Association.

Mr. O'Sullivan said this application involves repaving at the condo complex. He submitted a set of plans to the Commission. He said he has been working with Planning & Zoning and the Wetlands office to come up with a plan will achieve what is desired. He said he will be working on one problem area with the original backfilling. He said debris was buried in one corner and there are stretch marks and differences in elevations in the paved area. He said he is proposing a subsurface retaining wall, pre-cast concrete, in an attempt to hold the surface for the future which is shown on the drawing. He said he had a wetlands expert identify the wetlands. Mr. O'Sullivan said there is a brook on the drawing and a very steep bank in which people have thrown debris which will be cleaned out. He said as much vegetation as possible will be kept on the bank. He said machinery will be brought in so people can park their cars close to their homes and work will be done on the sidewalks. He said this complex was originally constructed in 1985. He said there will be no additional paved area and the handicapped areas will be located a number of handicapped parking areas throughout the complex.

Ms. O'Hare provided an overview of her Environmental Planner's Report dated July 8, 2014. She said her concern regards the very steep slope because part of it was filled with poor material when the development was created and the pavement is failing as a result. She said the original application in June called for the removal of a number of trees on the slope and those trees, which are at least 50 years old, hold that slope together. Ms. O'Hare noted that down the slope

is a poorly drained swamp removed from the condo complex. She said she met on-site with the Soil Scientist and the Project Engineer and it was agreed that the slope should be left alone. She said the revised version was submitted in July which notes that no trees will be cut and all the restoration work to restore the fill material will occur upgradient within the silt fence line and two pre-cast concrete block walls will be installed to hold the fill which will be replaced with good fill. She said this is a significantly improved application with much less impact. She said the key is the erosion controls which if installed correctly will control the slope.

**MS. DEUTSCH:**        **MOTION THAT APPLICATION #A14-6.3/56 BRENTWOOD DRIVE BRENTWOOD VILLAGE - RESTORATION OF SLOPE UPGRADIENT OF WETLANDS & RE-PAVING PROJECT BE DEEMED NOT A SIGNIFICANT ACTIVITY**

**MR. PARENT:**        **SECOND**

**VOTE:**                **KERN-YES; DEUTSCH – YES; PARENT – YES; PHILLIPS –YES; VITALI –YES.**

**MS. DEUTSCH:**        **MOTION THAT REVISED APPLICATION #A14-6.3/56 BRENTWOOD DRIVE BRENTWOOD VILLAGE – RESTORATION OF SLOPE UPGRADIENT OF WETLANDS & REPAVING PROJECT BE APPROVED WITH THE TWO CONDITIONS OF APPROVAL AS NOTED IN THE JULY 8, 2014 ENVIRONMENTAL PLANNER’S REPORT:**

- 1. EROSION CONTROLS ARE INSTALLED AND INSTALLATION APPROVED BY THE ENVIRONMENTAL PLANNER PRIOR TO INITIATION OF OTHER PERMITTED WORK ACTIVITIES INCLUDING THE REMOVAL OF EXISTING DEPOSITIONS ON SLOPE (AS LISTED IN ITEM #2 BELOW**
- 2. EXISTING DEPOSITION OF GRASS CLIPPINGS, VEGETATIVE DEBRIS, AND EARTHEN MATERIAL OCCURRING IN SEVERAL SPOTS AT THE TOP OF THE SLOPE (WHERE THE SLOPE LIES CLOSE TO THE PARKING AREA) TO BE REMOVED PRIOR TO INSTALLATION OF BLOCK WALL ELEMENT**

**MR. PARENT:**        **SECOND**

**VOTE:**                **KERN-YES; DEUTSCH – YES; PARENT – YESL PHILLIPS- YES; VITALI -YES**

## **PUBLIC HEARING**

### **1. Proposed amendments to IWWC Regulations, pertaining to Section 4, Sections 6-11, (new) Section 12, and re-numbering of current Sections 12-19 to Sections 13-20**

Chairman Vitali called the Public Hearing to order at 7:59 p.m.

Chairman Vitali said the purpose of the Public Hearing was to consider proposed amendments to the IWWC Regulations. He said many of these items are requested by the State for a change in language, some are simple, some complicated. He said what has been done in previous workshops, he requested attorneys and engineers be notified who frequently come before this Commission to share their input. Chairman Vitali said the Commission generated a draft document of proposed regulations to the amendments and this was done through workshops. He said Ms. O'Hare submitted these to Corporation Counsel Janis Small who overrode some of the proposed regulations. Chairman Vitali said he did not recall any discussions. He noted Atty. Joan Molloy spoke with him today and pointed out there seemed to be differences from what was discussed at the workshop. He asked if it would be better to do this at a workshop.

Ms. O'Hare said the Public Hearing could be kept open, close it, and set a workshop date, or keep the Public Hearing open and set a workshop date. She said it might be too tedious to do here and might be better in a roundtable setting. She suggested a workshop include Corporation Counsel Small.

Chairman Vitali noted that very few Commissioners have had time to go through all of the documents and said a workshop was workable. He said since the Commission was not meeting until September, would it be feasible to tie in the Farm Hill Road application, (conduct a Special Meeting in August) and then afterward, conduct a workshop on these regulations.

Commissioner Heilman suggested this be looked into.

Commissioner Kern said the Commission should ask Atty. Molloy and Chris Juliano their opinion since they came to tonight's hearing.

Ms. O'Hare noted that when amendments are proposed, there are certain requirements. She said these requirements were posted with the Town Clerk's office and with DEEP within the time frame and had to be published in the newspapers on July 5 and 11 and sent out to several attorneys and developers who had previously participated in workshops. She noted these Regulations were accepted by the Commission at the June Meeting for a Public Hearing which is taking place tonight.

Chairman Vitali began with a review of Section 4.1.

Ms. O'Hare said she had no changes on the new Regulations -Section 4.1 which was approved at the June Meeting. There were no questions or changes made.



Section 7.1 – no questions asked and no changes made.

Section 7.2 – Christopher Juliano, Licensed Land Surveyor, Juliano Associates commented regarding the language in the new proposed regulation which states that anytime an Applicant submits to Planning & Zoning, they must also submit to Wetlands. He said there are cases where an Applicant may be doing a two or three-lot subdivision and may be wetlands on the property, but can stay 75 feet away and have no measurable impact if done correctly. He asked why would an Application have to submit to the IWWC and couldn't it be handled administratively. He said the way it is written, the Applicant would be forced to submit a full application to IWWC.

Ms. O'Hare said these proposed regulation changes which are the subject of a Public Hearing, are based on two things: the DEEP Model Regulations that went out in 2006, some of which were never adopted and the changes to the State Legislation over the past few years. She said this was in the workshop documents but doesn't know if all of them were discussed.

Atty. Molloy said she had her notes from the workshop in 2012. She said this new Section 7.2 was discussed and comments were made from individuals such as herself and Mr. Juliano. Chairman Vitali noted that if the regulations change, an application would have to be submitted. He asked if this was State law.

Atty. Molloy said it is State law that an Applicant has to submit to Wetlands before submitting to Planning & Zoning.

Chairman Vitali said this new proposed regulation states that everytime an Applicant submits to Planning & Zoning for a subdivision or re-subdivision they must submit to Wetlands and he asked Ms. O'Hare to check with Atty. Small for clarification because he would like fresh comments from Atty. Small.

Commissioner Heilman said he wasn't sure the IWWC could change State Statutes.

Atty. Molloy emphasized that she was not asking the IWWC to do anything contrary to State statutes. She said she contacted Atty. Small after receiving comments from Ms. O'Hare and said the general consensus was that she (Atty. Small), did not remember this the same way as Ms. O'Hare. Atty. Molloy said obtaining new comments from Atty. Small was a good idea.

Ms. O'Hare said the last comments were in her June 4 memo and that Atty. Small did look at this one more time. She read the memorandum from her meeting with Atty. Small on June 3, 2014. She said Atty. Small wrote a memorandum on June 2, 2014. Ms. O'Hare said she made comments and she typed them back into the document.

Commissioner Heilman asked if there were any way to insert language where this would become a part of administrative approval.

Mr. Juliano said if we have to do an application and no wetlands are involved, if it is moved the administrative, we are complying with State Statute and not coming here spending time for two months.

Chairman Vitali asked Ms. O'Hare to get an opinion from Atty. Small about this administrative approval.

Ms. O'Hare said this situation came up at the last IWWC Meeting regarding 1024 Durham Road. She said the reason the developer got into trouble was because he didn't know where the upland review area line was. She said this regulation would catch this.

New Section 7.3 – previously approved by Atty. Small

Section 7.4 : Chairman Vitali said this proposes that the Commission and the applicant can conduct a pre-application meeting to determine whether or not the proposed application involves significant activity. He said this makes it sound as if the only reason to have a pre-application meeting was to determine significant activity, but noted the Commission has used pre-application meetings often.

Atty. Molloy said the underlined section is the new proposed language, but the balance of it was the old language under this proposal would be eliminated. She said when she looked at her notes, the language she had was a combination of a modification of the original and suggested the IWWC and Applicant may hold a pre-application meeting and a determination may be made at the pre-application meeting. She said this encourages or allows pre-application meetings for any purpose and gives the IWWC discretion to decide significant activity not require it.

Commissioner Heilman said there may be a technical issue regarding this. He said when an application is made; it sets certain precedence for things that follow, like significance. He said in a pre-application Meeting, one doesn't have an application where one could legally say this is significant and said the IWWC could advise, but doesn't have any legal grounds to declare in a pre-application meeting that the application is or is not significant. He said a workshop is needed to work this out.

Chairman Vitali suggested the workshop is needed because there are too many issues here of what was discussed two years ago and what is in black and white.

Ms. O'Hare respectfully requested Atty. Small attend this workshop. She said IWWC approved the language in November 2012 and all that was needed was to send it to a Public Hearing. She said the workshop took place October 12, 2012. She said the IWWC received copies but other people who participated at this workshop in 2012 never received copies of Atty. Small's comments.

Chairman Vitali said this was unfortunate because it was there comments that put us together on the path, that is why there were here and believed what was decided in the workshop was going forward. He said they were never informed of Atty. Small's comments.

Atty. Molloy said she would be willing to sit down with Atty. Small prior to the workshop and so would Mr. Juliano to discuss these issues.

Chairman Vitali closed the Public Hearing at 8:38 p.m. and will schedule another workshop.

## **RECEIPT OF NEW APPLICATIONS**

1. **#A14-6.4/59 & 65 North Plains Highway** – Wallingford Group, LLC – (Request for administrative approval re: IWWC #A13-8.2, as modified by IWWC #14-5.3 – elimination of recharge facilities) – Received by Chairman.

Chairman Vitali said the Applicant did not install gallery recharge systems and the Applicant believes it will function without them. He said Ms. O'Hare's comments of we would not know how this would work until the next storm was a good comment, so this will be put on hold until the spring of 2015. The Administrative approval is denied.

2. **#A14-7.1/2 Barnes Industrial Road South** –WE 2 Barnes, LLC c/o Michael Zielke – (Request for administrative approval –fire emergency gravel walkway installation) – received by Chairman.

Chairman Vitali said administrative approval was granted but because there was a sign on one of the doors that said "Exit", the Fire Marshal wanted a defined sidewalk away from it, which is a gravel walkway. He said he sent a memo to Ms. O'Hare concerned it wouldn't be maintained in the winter because it is hard to shovel gravel in the winter. He said what he approved was the impact to the wetlands and will see very little use.

3. **#A14-7.2/195 Chimney Hill Road** – ATA Realty, Inc – (residential resubdivision development – 16 lots) –received by Chairman.

## **REPORTS & COMMUNICATIONS**

1. U.S. Army Corps of Engineers – New England District – Regulatory Division-to Jonathan Gavin, Wallingford Group, LLC. – re: 59 & 65 No. Plains Hwy, from Cori Rose, Senior Project Manager, dated 6/2/14; received 6/9/14.
2. U.S. Army Corps of Engineers - New England District- Public Notice re: permit application Amtrak – replacement or restoration of approximately 35 miles of second track –(including fisheries mitigation at Meetinghouse Brook); received 6/9/14.
3. Dept. of Energy Environmental Protection – Notice of Tentative Determination to approve an application for 401 Water Quality Certification for the Town of Wallingford re: Phase 3 of the Quinnipiac River Linear Trail – construction of trail, dated 6/23/14; received 6/26/14
4. Correspondence to DEEP, Wallingford Water Division, and IWWC from David Michaels, Manager – Environmental Health and Safety, 5 Research Parkway, Bristol-Myers Squibb, re: dam maintenance : Bristol-Myers Squibb Dam – request for maintenance determination, dated 7/8/14; received 7/11/14.

## **VIOLATIONS**

1. CEASE & DESIST: #A13-8.2/59 & 65 North Plains Highway – Wallingford Group, LLC.

Chairman Vitali said this deals with the overall project, Army Corps of Engineers and Town of Wallingford, rip-rap on Town property. He said the Applicant would like the Cease & Desist removed but it cannot be taken up until a decision by the Army Corps of Engineers. Chairman Vitali said the Cease & Desist will remain open.

2. CEASE & DESIST: 69 North Plains Highway – 69 North Plains, Wallingford, LLC – Taken up above.
3. NOTICE OF VIOLATION –North Plains Highway Town RIGHT-OF-WAY/Padens Brook – Wallingford Group, LLC – re: unpermitted, unauthorized activities on Town Property within wetlands, watercourses and upland review area – discussed above.
4. NOTICE OF VIOLATION – 252 Main St. Yalesville/Quinnipiac River Raceway – Brother's Pool, L&J Partnership – discussed above.

Chairman Vitali said a Special Meeting will be set sometime in mid-August for a workshop based on Atty. Small's schedule and if possible the 91 Farm Hill application may be discussed. He asked Ms. Taylor, the neighbor be notified.

Ms. O'Hare said she would get the testimony from Mr. Juliano and Atty. Molloy to the IWWC before the workshop takes place.

## **ADJOURNMENT**

Chairman Vitali adjourned the Meeting at 8:47 p.m.

Respectfully submitted,

Cynthia A. Kleist

Recording Secretary